





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 9846
09/783,264 02/15/2001		/15/2001	Peng Cho Tang	038602-1086	
7	590	03/07/2002			
FOLEY & LA		₹	EXAMINER		
Washington Ha	arbour		STOCKTON, LAURA LYNNE		
3000 K Street 1			ARTIBUT	DADED MAINTE	
Washington, D	C 20007	7-5109	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1626	$Q_0$
				DATE MAILED: 03/07/2002	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

		*WIES OF				
APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED A	PPLICANT	ATT	Y. DOCKET NO.	
					EXAMINER	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
					9	
					1	
			DAT	E MAILED:		
•					i	
This is a communication for COMMISSIONER OF PAT	om the examiner in charg	e of your application.			•	
TOWNSOLDNER OF PAI	EN 18 AND TRADEMARI	·				
		OFFICE ACTION SU	MMARY			
Responsive to communi	ication(s) filed on				,	
_	icadon(s) filed on					
☐ This action is FINAL.						
Since this application is	in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to th	e merits is clo	sed in	
	oute district Ex parte G	uayie, 1835 D.C. 11; 453 C	).G. 213.			
A shortened statutory period whichever is longer, from the the application to become ab	mailing date of this co	mmunication Eallura to se		thirty		
, ,	andoned. (35 U.S.C.	§ 133). Extensions of time	spong within the period f may be obtained under t	or response will he provisions o	Cause 137 CFR	
				,		
Disposition of Claims	_				. 1	
Claim(s)	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	;	/ore pending In	the conflored	
Of the above, claim(s)					the application.	
Claim(s)					e allowed.	
Claim(s)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			e rejected.	
Claim(s) 1-60			are subject to res	is/are o	objected to.	
Application Papers						
Con the etteched Mettec	-45-6-					
The drawing(s) filed on _	or Dramsperson's Pater	nt Drawing Review, PTO-94				
☐ The proposed drawing co	prrection, filed on		are objected to by the E	. —	diagrammed	
The specification is object	ted to by the Examiner			approved	disapproved.	
The oath or declaration is	objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9				:	
Acknowledgment is made	of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d).		ŧ	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ N		ED copies of the priority do			:	
_	OF UTO OLIVINA	CD copies of the priority do	cuments have been	ď		
received.	n No. (Series Code/Se	-1-441 4 4				
received in this nation	nai stage application fro	om the International Bureau	(PCT Pula 17 0/a))			
		Sin the international Buleat	(PCT Nuie 17.2(a)).		:	
*Certified copies not receive					<del></del> ·	
Acknowledgment is made	of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e).	*		
Attachment(s)						
Notice of Reference Cited,	PT(1.802			:		
		<b>.</b>			,	
Information Disclosure Sta		Paper No(s).	-			
Interview Summary, PTO-					•	
Notice of Draftperson's Pa		PTO-948	į		. •	
Notice of Informal Patent A	pplication, PTO-152		i			

-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE: ..

09/783,264

Art Unit: 1626

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-60 are pending in the application.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-51, drawn to products, classified in classes 544, 546, etc.
  - II. Claims 52-59, drawn to methods, classified in class 514, various subclasses
  - III. Claim 60, drawn to products of formula (II), classified in class 548, subclass 465+

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: the products of Groups I and III differ materially in structure and element so much so as to be patentably distinct. In addition, a reference that anticipates one group may not even render obvious the other. Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if

Art Unit: 1626

either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and the search required for Group I, for example, is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The above groups themselves are inclusive of patentably distinct subject matter. Accordingly, along with the election of one of the above groups, the following action is also taken.

Art Unit: 1626

Claim 1 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising, for example, the compounds of (1) Example 1, page 29, Example 22, page 31, Example 40, page 33, Example 138, page 44 etc., and (2) a method of treating a disease using the compounds as in Example 1, Example, 22, Example 40, Example 138, etc.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from whichever group is elected, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the

Art Unit: 1626

evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Upon the election of a single disclosed species {e.g. Example, page number and structural depiction}, a generic concept, inclusive of the elected species, will be identified by the Examiner for examination.

Moreover, whatever specific compound is ultimately elected, applicants are required to list all claims readable thereon from the elected Group.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance

Art Unit: 1626

Page 6

with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura L. Stockton whose telephone number is (703) 308-1875. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:00 am to 2:30 pm. If the examiner is out of the Office, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane, can be reached on (703) 308-4537.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235, 308-0196 or 305-3290.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556, 308-4242, 305-1935 or 308-2742.

Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626, Group 1620

Technology Center 1600